arXiv:1606.08322v1 [math.DS] 27 Jun 2016

Zero sets of Lie algebras of analytic vector fields on real and complex 2-dimensional manifolds, II

Morris W. Hirsch*
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin at Madison
University of California at Berkeley
F.-J. Turiel
Department of Geometry and Topology
University of Malaga

June 28, 2016

Abstract

On a real ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$) or complex ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$) analytic connected 2-manifold M with empty boundary consider two vector fields X, Y. We say that Y *tracks* X if $[Y, X] = fX$ for some continuous function $f\colon M \to \mathbb{F}$. Let K be a compact subset of the zero set $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ such that $\mathsf{Z}(X) - K$ is closed, with nonzero Poincaré-Hopf index (for example $K = \mathsf{Z}(X)$ when M is compact and $\chi(M) \neq 0$) and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra of analytic vector fields on M.

Theorem. Let X be analytic and nontrivial. If every element of $\mathcal{G}$ tracks X and, in the complex case when $\mathsf{i}_K(X)$ is positive and even no quotient of $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, then $\mathcal{G}$ has some zero in K.

Corollary. If Y tracks a nontrivial vector field X, both of them analytic, then Y vanishes somewhere in K.

Besides fixed point theorems for certain types of transformation groups are proved. Several illustrative examples are given.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
Lie group actions 5

*I thank Paul Chernoff, David Eisenbud, Robert Gompf, Henry King, Robion Kirby, Frank Raymond, Helena Reis and Joel Robbin for helpful discussions.

2 Lie algebras on compact connected complex surfaces with no common zero **6**

3 Other examples **12**

4 Consequences of tracking **15**

5 Vanishing of the index and other results **16**

6 Proofs of the main theorems **20**
Proof of Theorem 1.1 20
Proof of Theorem 2.6 22

1 Introduction

A fundamental issue in Dynamical Systems is deciding whether a vector field has a zero. When the manifold is compact with empty boundary and nonvanishing Euler characteristic, a positive answer is given by the celebrated Poincaré-Hopf Theorem.

Determining whether two or more vector fields have a common zero is more challenging. This problem is closely related to the question of which general classes of noncompact transformation groups must have fixed points. Early results along these lines include A. Borel [4], E. Lima [19], A. Sommese [29] and J. Plante [24].

Throughout this paper manifolds are real or complex with corresponding ground field denoted by $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Each manifold has a analytic structure (meaning holomorphic in the complex case), and empty boundary unless the contrary is indicated. The closure of subset Λ of a topological space is denoted by $\overline{\Lambda}$ and the interior by $\mathsf{Int}\,\Lambda$.

Let N be a manifold. The dimension of N over the ground field is denoted by $\mathsf{dim}_{\mathbb{F}} N$, or by $\mathsf{dim} N$ when the ground field $\mathbb{F}$ is clear from the context. $\mathcal{V}(N)$ is the vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ of continuous vector fields on N, with the compact-open topology, while $\mathcal{V}^k(N) \subset \mathcal{V}(N)$, $k = 1, \ldots, \infty$, (respectively $\mathcal{V}^\omega(N)$)denotes the subalgebra of vector fields that are C^k-differentiable (respectively analytic) . Of course $\mathcal{V}^1(N) = \mathcal{V}^\omega(N)$ when N is complex.

Consider a set $\mathcal{A}$ of vector fields on a manifold N. The set of their common zeros is $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) := \bigcap_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \mathsf{Z}(X)$, where $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is the set of zeros of X. A set $S \subset P$ is *X-invariant* if it contains the orbits under X of its points. When this holds for all X in $\mathcal{A}$ one will say that S is *$\mathcal{A}$-invariant*.

Let X be a continuous vector field on real manifold N with empty boundary. Given an open set $U \subset N$ with compact closure $\overline{U}$ such that $(\overline{U} \setminus U) \cap \mathsf{Z}(X) = \varnothing$, the *index* $\mathsf{i}(X, U)$ of X on U is defined as the Poincaré-Hopf index of any sufficiently close approximation $X' \in \mathcal{V}(U)$ to $X|U$ (in the compact open topology) such that $\mathsf{Z}(X')$ is finite. Equivalently: $\mathsf{i}(X, U)$ is the intersection number of $X|U$ with the zero section of the tangent bundle

(Bonatti [2]). This number is independent of the approximation, and is stable under perturbation of X and replacement of U by smaller open sets containing $\mathsf{Z}(X) \cap U$

When X is C^1 and generates the local flow ϕ on M, for sufficiently small $t > 0$ the index $\mathsf{i}(X, U)$ equals the fixed-point index $I(\phi_t|U)$ defined by Dold [5].

A *block* of zeros for X, or an X-*block*, is a compact set $K \subset \mathsf{Z}(X)$ such that $\mathsf{Z}(X) \setminus K$ is closed. This is equivalent to the existence of a precompact open neighborhood U of K such that $\mathsf{Z}(X) \cap \overline{U} = K$. We say that such a U is *isolating* for (X, K).

When U is isolating for (X, K) then $\mathsf{i}(X, U)$ does not depend on the choice of U: it is completely determined by X and K. The *index of* X *at* K is defined to be $\mathsf{i}_K(X) := \mathsf{i}(X, U)$. An X-block K is *essential* provided $\mathsf{i}_K(X) \neq 0$. When this holds $K \neq \varnothing$.

Notice that the notions of "block" and "index" are well defined for holomorphic vector fields on a complex manifold, since these are also vector fields— sections of the tangent bundle— on the underlying real manifold.

Theorem (Poincaré-Hopf [14, 25])**.** *If N is compact, $\mathsf{i}_{\mathsf{Z}(X)}(X) = \mathsf{i}(X, N) = \chi(N)$ for every continuous vector fields X on N.*

For calculations of the index in more general settings see Morse [21], Pugh [26], Gottlieb [7], Jubin [16].

This paper was inspired by a remarkable result of C. Bonatti, which does not require compactness of N:[1]

Theorem (Bonatti [2])**.** *Assume N is a real manifold of dimension ≤ 4 and X, Y are analytic vector fields on N such that $[X, Y] = 0$. Then $\mathsf{Z}(Y)$ meets every essential X-block.*[2]

We say that Y *tracks* X if Y, X are C^1 vector fields on a real or complex manifold N and $[Y, X] = fX$ for some continuous function $f\colon N \to \mathbb{F}$, which we will call the *tracking function*. When this holds for all Y in a set $\mathcal{A}$ of vector fields we say that $\mathcal{A}$ tracks X.

For instance: if X is basis of a 1-dimensional ideal of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of vector fields then $\mathcal{G}$ tracks X; but the converse does not always hold, even when $\mathcal{G}$ is finite dimensional (see Example 3.1).

Notice that if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and X is nontrivial on each connected component of N, then f is necessarily holomorphic, but f might be merely continuous if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ (see Section 4)

In the rest of this section we postulate:

[1] "The demonstration of this result involves a beautiful and quite difficult local study of the set of zeros of X, as an analytic Y-invariant set." —P. Molino [20]

[2] In [2] this is stated for $\dim(N) = 3$ or 4. If $\dim(N) = 2$ the same conclusion is obtained by applying the 3-dimensional case to the vector fields $X \times t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $Y \times t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $N \times \mathbb{R}$.

- *M is a real or complex 2-dimensional connected manifold with empty boundary,*
- *$X \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is nontrivial and K is an essential X-block,*
- *$\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is a Lie algebra that is finite-dimensional over the ground field and tracks X.*

This is our main result:

Theorem 1.1 (Main)**.** *Assume the following condition holds:*

(*) *If M is complex and $\mathfrak{i}_K(X)$ is even, no quotient of $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.*

Then $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \cap K \neq \varnothing$.

The proof is given in Section 6.

Hypothesis (*) cannot be deleted, as is shown by Example 3.1 and Theorem 2.6. Nevertheless in the compact case the "exceptions" to Theorem 1.1 are completely described by Theorem 2.6 and Remark 6.2. Summarizing these two results we can state:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume M is compact and complex, $\chi(M) \neq 0$ and $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \cap \mathsf{Z}(X) = \emptyset$. Then M is a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}P^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to either $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ or the product of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ with the affine algebra of $\mathbb{C}$.*

Now consider the particular case in which M is a compact complex surface endowed with a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ and $\chi(M) \neq 0$. As an application of Theorem 1.2 one shows that if $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset$, then there is a diffeomorphism (biholomorphism) between M and $\mathbb{C}P^2$ which transforms $\mathcal{G}$ in the Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^2)$ consisting of those projective vector fields that on $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ are linear (see Example 2.7). Therefore any effective holomorphic action of $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on M with some fixed point is equivalent to the natural action of $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Let us return to the general case.

Corollary 1.3. *If $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is a solvable Lie algebra tracking X, then $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \cap K \neq \varnothing$.*

Proof. Follows from the main theorem because solvability of $\mathcal{G}$ validates hypothesis (*). ∎

Theorem 1.4. *Consider a compact, complex 2-manifold M with $\chi(M) \neq 0$. If $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is a solvable Lie algebra then $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to a Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices by Lie's Theorem [15]. If $\mathcal{G} \neq \{0\}$, some $X \in \mathcal{G}$ spans a 1-dimensional ideal and is thus tracked by $\mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is an essential X-block by the Poincaré-Hopf Theorem, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1 applied to the essential X-block $K := \mathsf{Z}(X)$. ∎

Remark 1.5. The analog of Theorem 1.4 for real manifolds is not true: The vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

extend over the real projective plane $\mathbb{R}P^2$ to span a 3-dimensional solvable Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{R}P^2)$, and $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \varnothing$. But the proof Theorem 1.4 can be adapted to show that the real analog holds provided $\mathcal{G}$ is *supersolvable*—faithfully represented by upper triangular real matrices.

Lie group actions

Let G denote Lie group over the same ground field $\mathbb{F}$ as M.

An *action* of G on M is an $\mathbb{F}$-analytic map

$$\alpha\colon\ G \times M \to M$$

such that the map

$$g^\alpha\colon\ p \to \alpha(g, p)$$

is a homomorphism from G to the group of $\mathbb{F}$-analytic diffeomorphisms of M (see Palais [23]). This action is also denoted by (α, G, M). Its *fixed point set* is

$$\mathsf{Fix}(\alpha) := \{p \in M\colon\ g^\alpha(p) = p, \quad (g \in G)\}.$$

The action is *effective* if its kernel is trivial, and *almost effective* if its kernel is discrete.

An analytic action α of G on M gives rise to a homomorphism d_α from the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G onto a subalgebra $\mathcal{G}^\alpha \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$, the *infinitesimal action* determined by α. Note that d_α is injective if and only if the action is almost effective. When G is connected, $\mathsf{Fix}(\alpha) = \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}^\alpha)$.

- *In the next two results G is a connected Lie group.*

Theorem 1.6. *Assume:*

(a) *M is compact and $\chi(M) \neq 0$.*

(b) *G contains a 1-dimensional normal subgroup.*

(c) *If M is complex and $\chi(M)$ is positive and even, then the Lie algebra of G does not have $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as a quotient.*

Then every almost effective analytic action (α, G, M) has a fixed point.

Proof. As G contains a 1-dimensional normal subgroup, there exists $X \in \mathcal{G}^\alpha$ spanning a 1-dimensional ideal. Evidently $\mathcal{G}^\alpha$ tracks X. The X-block $\mathsf{i}(X)$ is essential by Poincaré-Hopf, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1. ∎

Corollary 1.7. *Assume:*

- *M is complex and compact, and $\chi(M) \neq 0$.*
- *G is solvable.*

Then every holomorphic action (α, G, M) has a fixed point.

Proof. Apply Theorem 1.4, taking into account that even if the action is not almost effective, the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}^\alpha$ is solvable. ∎

As remark 1.5 shows, the analog for real analytic surfaces does not hold, but if G is supersolvable it follows from Theorem 1.6 because G since they always contain a normal subgroup of dimension one. (Hirsch & Weinstein [13] proved this in a different way, and later on Turiel [34] listed the Lie groups, solvable or not, that act without fixed point when $\chi(M) \neq 0$.)

The existence of fixed points for continuous actions on compact real surfaces with nonzero Euler characteristic was proved by Lima [19] for the group $\mathbb{R}^n$, and Plante [24] for connected nilpotent Lie groups; result extended by Hirsch [11] to nilpotent local actions. Moreover Lima and Plante showed that that every compact surface supports a continuous fixed-point free action by the affine group $Aff_+(\mathbb{R})$, the first non-nilpotent Lie group. It belongs to the folklore that this kind of action can be taken smooth ([1]; for an elementary construction of a such action see [35]).

Related results are in the articles [1, 3, 10, 12, 27, 32, 33].

2 Lie algebras on compact connected complex surfaces with no common zero

In this section $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, M is a compact connected complex surface and every object is holomorphic unless another thing is stated. Our purpose is to describe all the compact cases in which Theorem 1.1 fails if hypothesis (*) is deleted. As we will see, essentially there are only two models. Before constructing them let us recall some well known things necessary later on.

First consider a compact 1-codimensional submanifold P of a real or complex manifold N and a vector field X on N. Assume the existence of an open set $A \supset P$ such that $Z(X) \cap A = P$.

Consider $p \in P$. Suppose that there exists an 1-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$ defined on an open set $p \in B \subset A$ such that:

- X is tangent to (leaves of) $\mathcal{F}$.

- $T_qN = T_q\mathcal{F} \oplus T_qP$ for every $q \in B \cap P$.

Notice that $\mathcal{F}$ is unique if it exists.

Let L_p be the leaf of p. Then $X \mid L_p$ inside this leaf has an isolated singularity at p and by definition, the index of this singularity is *the transversal index of X at p*.

Clearly when the transversal index is defined at p, it is also defined around p in P and it is locally constant. Therefore if the transversal index of X is defined at any point then it has to be constant on each connected component of P, which allows us to refer to it as *the index of X at (any component of) P*.

On the other hand recall that the sphere S^2 admits a unique complex structure up diffeomorphism, usually represented by $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Besides, the group of diffeomorphisms (biholomorphic maps) of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is the projective group $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$, which is the quotient of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ by $\{\mathbf{I}, -\mathbf{I}\}$, where $\mathbf{I} \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ denotes the identity. Thus $\mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ equals the algebra of projective vector fields, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Note that the structure group of holomorphic fibre bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with fibre $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the fundamental group of $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$, from the real point of view, there only exist two fibre bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with fibre $\mathbb{C}P^1$; more exactly $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2 \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C}P}^2$, which is the result of blowing up a point of $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Actually in the C^∞-category there are only two fibre bundles over S^2 with fibre S^2 ($\mathrm{Diff}_+(S^2)$ strongly retracts onto $SO(3)$, Smale [28]).

From the complex viewpoint things are different because a holomorphic map from an open set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$, which includes S^1, into $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ extends to D^2 in the C^∞-category but not always like holomorphic map.

Model 2.1 ($\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is connected)**.** On $\mathbb{C}P^1$ consider the projective vector fields $\widetilde{X}$ which on $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$ is written as $z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Set $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$; let π_1, π_2 be the canonical projections. On the other hand let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ be the Lie algebra tangent to the first factor and isomorphic by $(\pi_1)_*$ to $\mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, and X the vector field on M tangent to the second factor whose projection by $(\pi_2)_*$ equals $a\widetilde{X}$, $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Clearly $[X, \mathcal{G}] = 0$.

Moreover $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \varnothing$ so $\mathsf{Z}(X) \cap \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \varnothing$, while $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is a 1-submanifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and transversally to it the index of X equals 2.

Remark 2.2 (A compactification construction)**.** Since $\mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{C}P^k$ any linear transformation of $\mathbb{C}^k$ is the restriction of a projective transformation of $\mathbb{C}P^k$ and $GL(k,\mathbb{C})$ can be regarded as a subgroup of $PGL(k+1,\mathbb{C})$ in a natural way. Now consider a holomorphic line bundle $\pi\colon E \to N$. Completing each fibre with its own infinity point gives rise to a new holomorphic fibre bundle $\pi\colon Q \to N$ with fibre $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (for sake of simplicity the projection map is still denoted π).

More exactly if $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in L}$ is a trivializing open covering of N with transition functions $g_{\lambda\mu}\colon U_\lambda \cap U_\mu \to GL(1,\mathbb{C})$ associated to $\pi\colon E \to N$, then at the

same time it is associated to $\pi\colon Q \to N$ if $GL(1,\mathbb{C})$ is seen as a subgroup of $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and, therefore, every $g_{\lambda\mu}$ takes its values in $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Let Q_0 be (the image of) the zero section of E and set $Q_\infty\colon = Q \setminus E$. Clearly Q_∞ is a complex submanifold, that we will call the infinity section, and $\pi\colon Q_\infty \to N$ a diffeomorphism.

The radial vector field R of E extends to a vector field on Q still called R since the diffeomorphism $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\} \to z^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ transforms $z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ in $-z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Besides $\mathsf{Z}(R) = Q_0 \cup Q_\infty$ and transversally to Q_0 and Q_∞ the index of R equals 1.

Set $E'\colon = Q - Q_0$. As any diffeomorphism $\rho\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which preserves $-z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is a linear automorphism, $\pi\colon E' \to N$ has a natural structure of holomorphic line bundle with zero section Q_∞ and radial vector field $-R$. With respect to the open covering of N giving before, its transition functions $g'_{\lambda\mu}$ are $g'_{\lambda\mu} = g_{\lambda\mu}^{-1}$. Thus $c_1(E') = -c_1(E)$ where c_1 denotes the first Chern class. Moreover if one adds the infinity point to each fibre of E' one obtains $\pi\colon Q \to N$ again but this time Q_0 is the infinity section.

Of course the constructions above do not depend on the trivializing open covering of N.

Remark 2.3. Let $\pi\colon E \to N$ be a holomorphic vector bundle and R its radial vector field. Consider a diffeomorphism $f\colon E \to E$ that preserves R. Then f maps fibres in fibres, which induces a second diffeomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon N \to N$ such that $\tilde{f}\circ\pi = \pi\circ f$ and every $f\colon \pi^{-1}(q) \to \pi^{-1}(\tilde{f}(q))$, $q \in N$, is a linear isomorphism.

Indeed, f has to map $\mathsf{Z}(R)$, that is the zero section of E, into itself. On the other hand each fibre $\pi^{-1}(q)$ is the set of all the points of E that have the zero of $\pi^{-1}(q)$ as α-limit.

Moreover if $\pi\colon E \to N$ is a holomorphic line bundle and $\pi\colon Q \to N$ its compactification given in Remark 2.2 then f extends to a diffeomorphism of Q (obvious since each $f\colon \pi^{-1}(q) \to \pi^{-1}(\tilde{f}(q))$, is a linear so projective). Thus any complete vector field Y on E such that $[Y,R] = 0$ extends to a vector field on Q.

Indeed, let Φ_t be the flow of Y. Then every Φ_t preserves R, so maps fibres in fibres, which implies that Y is foliate with respect to fibres. Moreover Y has to be tangent to $\mathsf{Z}(R)$, that is to the zero section. Therefore if U is a trivializing open set of N and one identifies $\pi^{-1}(U)$ to $U \times \mathbb{C}$ endowed with variables (y,z) one has

$$Y(y,z) = \widetilde{Y}(y) + \varphi(y,z)\cdot z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

where $\widetilde{Y}$ is a vector field on U.

But $[Y,R] = 0$ and $R = z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, so function φ only depends on y. Since the compactification of $U \times \mathbb{C}$ is $U \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ and clearly $\widetilde{Y}$ and $\varphi\cdot z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ extend to $U \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ so does Y.

Model 2.4 ($\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is not connected)**.** This kind of examples are constructed on the compactation given in Remark 2.2 of holomorphic line bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. As the Picard group of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ these line bundle are *holomorphically* classified by their first Chern class [8]. We will need:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\pi\colon E \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ be a holomorphic line bundle and R its radial vector field. Then there exists one and only one Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(E)$ such that:*

- $[R, \mathcal{G}] = 0$,
- *$\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic by π_* to $\mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)$.*

Moreover $\mathcal{G}$ comes from an action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on E. Therefore its elements are complete vector fields.

Proof. Uniqueness: let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ be as in the lemma. Since the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are tangent to the zero section and $\pi_*\colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, $\pi_*\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ isomorphisms, then every element of $\mathcal{H}$ writes as $Y + a_Y R$ where $Y \in \mathcal{G}$ and $a_Y\colon E \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic. Now $[R, Y + a_Y R] = 0$ implies that a_Y is constant along fibres.Therefore $a_Y = b_Y \circ \pi$ where $b_Y\colon \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, so constant. In other words $\mathcal{H} = \{Y + a_Y R\colon Y \in \mathcal{G},\ a_Y \in \mathbb{C}\}$. But $\mathcal{I} = \{Y \in \mathcal{G}\colon a_Y = 0\}$ is a nonzero ideal so $a_Y = 0$ whatever $Y \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}$.

Existence: given a holomorphic line bundle $\pi\colon E \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ it suffices to show the existence of an action $\alpha\colon SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \times E \to E$ which is almost effective and fibre preserving. Recall that *fibre preserving* means the existence of a second action (the projected one on the basis $\mathbb{C}P^1$) $\beta\colon SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ such that $\pi(\alpha(g,e)) = \beta(g, \pi(e))$ and $\alpha(g, \quad)\colon \pi^{-1}(p) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(\beta(g,p))$ is a linear isomorphism for any $g \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$, $e \in E$ and $p \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. For that one will show the existence of such action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ for any value of the first Chern class.

First observe that given two almost effective actions $(\alpha, SL(2,\mathbb{C}), E)$ and $(\alpha', SL(2,\mathbb{C}), E')$ over actions $(\beta, SL(2,\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}P^1)$ and $(\beta', SL(2,\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}P^1)$ respectively, if $\beta = \beta'$ then there exists a natural almost effective action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $E \otimes E'$ over $\beta = \beta'$. Besides $c_1(E \otimes E') = c_1(E) + c_1(E')$.

On the other hand an action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on E induces an actions on its dual vector bundle E^*, both over the same action on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (recall that $c_1(E^*) = -c_1(E)$).

Thus it suffices to construct it on the canonical line bundle E_1 over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. But it is well known that the natural action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ induced a such action on E_1 first by setting $g \cdot (v,w) = (g \cdot v, g \cdot w)$ on $\widetilde{F}\colon = \{(v,w) \in (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C}^2\colon v \wedge w = 0\}$, and then by considering the induced action on the quotient E_1 of $\widetilde{F}$ under the equivalence relation $(v,w)\mathcal{R}(v',w') \Leftrightarrow v \wedge v' = 0$ and $w = w'$. ∎

Consider a holomorphic line bundle $\pi\colon E \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ and its compactification given in Remark 2.2. Depending on the context denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the Lie algebra of Lemma 2.5 or its extension to M, which exists because $\mathcal{G}$ on E consists of complete vector fields (Remark 2.3). Analogously R will be the radial vector field on E or its extension to M.

Always on M note that $[\mathcal{G}, R] = 0$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic by π_* to $\mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, so $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ since $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{V}^\omega(\mathbb{C}P^1)) = \emptyset$. Therefore $(M, X, \mathcal{G})$ where $X = aR$, $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, is an example in which Theorem 1.1 fails, and $\mathsf{Z}(X) = M_0 \cup M_\infty$ where M_0 is the zero section and M_∞ the infinity one (Q_0 and Q_∞ in the notation of Remark 2.2). Obviously $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ possesses two connected components.

Clearly $\pi\colon E \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\pi\colon E' \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ where $E'\colon = M - M_0$ give rise to the same example (up to a nonzero coefficient multiplying X), so these examples only depend on the absolute value of the first Chern class.

When $\mid c_1(E) \mid \neq 0$ this number equals the order of the fundamental group of $M - \mathsf{Z}(X) = M - (M_0 \cup M_\infty)$. If $\mid c_1(E) \mid = 0$ then the fundamental group of $M - \mathsf{Z}(X)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mid c_1(E) \mid$ is an invariant which classifies this kind of examples up to a nonzero coefficient multiplying X.

In compact complex surfaces with nonvanishing Euler characteristic, Models 2.1 and 2.4 are the only ways for constructing Lie algebras tracking non-trivial vector fields with no common zero. More exactly:

Theorem 2.6. *Let M be a compact, connected, complex 2-manifold with $\chi(M) \neq 0$. Assume $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra that tracks a non-trivial vector field $X \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$. If $\mathsf{Z}(X) \cap \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \varnothing$ then the following conditions hold:*

(a) *M is a holomorphic fibre bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with fibre $\mathbb{C}P^1$, hence M is simply connected and $\chi(M) = 4$.*

(b) *$\mathcal{G}$ contains a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, with $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \varnothing$.*

(c) *$(M, X, \mathcal{A})$ is holomorphically equivalent to the example of Model 2.1 or to an of the examples of Model 2.4.*

This result will be proved in section 6 (see Remark 6.2 for more details on the algebra $\mathcal{G}$).

Example 2.7. Let M be a compact, connected, complex 2-manifold, Assume:

- $\chi(M) \neq 0$.
- $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ is a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$.
- $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{G}$ is the unique subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ [15], and $X \in \mathcal{G}$ spans the center of $\mathcal{G}$.

- $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$. (The case $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \varnothing$ is described by Theorem 2.6.)

Lemma 5.3, applied to X and $\mathcal{A}$, shows that $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ is a finite set, $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$, $r \geq 1$, and $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Blowing up all these points gives rise to another compact, connected complex 2-manifold M', a vector field $X' \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(M')$ coming from X, and a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M')$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$ (hence to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$). Moreover $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}') = \varnothing$; indeed as $\mathcal{A}$ is simple $\mathcal{A}_0(p_k)/\mathcal{A}_1(p_k)$ equals the special linear algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(T_{p_k}M)$ for each $k = 1, \dots, r$ (see section 5 for definitions) and this zero of $\mathcal{A}$ is deleted by the blowup process [8].

It follows from the blowup construction that M and M' have the same fundamental group and $\chi(M') = \chi(M) + r$. Theorem 2.6 shows that M' is simply connected and $\chi(M') = 4$. Therefore M is also simply connected and $\chi(M) \leq 3$. Since S^4 has no complex structure, topologically M is $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $r = 1$.

Notice that the linear part of X at p_1 has to be $a\mathbf{Id}$, $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, otherwise as $\mathcal{A}_0(p_1)/\mathcal{A}_1(p_1) = \mathfrak{sl}(T_{p_1}M)$ all k-jets of X at p_1 vanish and $X = 0$. Therefore transversally to $\mathbb{C}P^1 \equiv M' \setminus (M \setminus \{p_1\})$ the index of X' equals 1 and $(M', X', \mathcal{A}')$ follows Model 2.4 for the canonical line bundle E_1 since the normal vector bundle of $M' \setminus (M \setminus \{p_1\})$ is isomorphic to E_1.

As the examples of Model 2.4 are determined by the absolute value of their first Chern class if one considers a second manifold N, $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(N)$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{H}$ in the same conditions as M, $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{A}$ and X, and one blows up the only singular point q_1 then there is a (holomorphic) diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon M' \to N'$ which transforms $\mathcal{A}'$ in $\mathcal{B}'$ and X' in aY' for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Besides $\varphi(M' \setminus (M \setminus \{p_1\})) = N' \setminus (N \setminus \{q_1\})$ because the first Chern class of their respective normal vector bundles are the same, that of E_1 (notice that the first Chern class of the other component of $\mathsf{Z}(X')$, or of $\mathsf{Z}(Y')$, is the opposite one).

Now crushing $M' \setminus (M \setminus \{p_1\})$ and $N' \setminus (N \setminus \{q_1\})$ respectively into a point gives rise to a homeomorphism $\psi\colon M \to N$ with $\psi(p_1) = q_1$. Clearly $\psi\colon M \setminus \{p_1\} \to N \setminus \{q_1\}$ is biholomorphic, so $\psi\colon M \to N$ is biholomorphic too and transform $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

In other words, *up to isomorphism there is only one example of manifold M and subalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ as above.* For instance: $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathcal{G}$ the subalgebra of those projective vector fields that on $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ are linear.

Let G be a connected Lie group and let N, P be two compact connected manifolds (real or complex it does not matter). Given two actions $\alpha\colon G \times N \to N$ and $\beta\colon G \times P \to P$ we will say they are *equivalent* if there exist a diffeomorphism $\psi\colon N \to P$ and an automorphism $\lambda\colon G \to G$ such that

$$\alpha(g, p) = \psi^{-1}(\beta(\lambda(g), \psi(p)))$$

for any $(g, p) \in G \times N$. Assume α and β are effective. From [23] follows that if there is a diffeomorphism $\psi\colon N \to P$ which transforms $Im\, d_\alpha$ in $Im\, d_\beta$ then α and β are equivalent.

Therefore *any effective holomorphic action of $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on a connected compact complex surface M, with $\chi(M) \neq 0$, which possesses a fixed point is equivalent to the natural action of $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{C}P^2$.*

3 Other examples

In this part we give several examples of finite dimensional Lie algebras on surfaces tracking nontrivial vector fields, focusing on complex surfaces, the most difficult case.

Example 3.1. Recall that in dimension two a projective vector field Y means a fundamental vector field of the natural action of $SL(3,\mathbb{F})$ on $\mathbb{F}P^2$, which is effective if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and almost effective when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ (its kernel equals $\{a\mathbf{I}\colon\ (a \in \mathbb{C},\, a^3 = 1)\}$, where $\mathbf{I} \in SL(3,\mathbb{C})$ denotes the identity). The restriction of Y to $\mathbb{F}^2 \subset \mathbb{F}P^2$ can be written as

$$a_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + a_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \sum_{k,r=1,2} b_{kr}x_k\frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} + (c_1x_1 + c_2x_2)\left(x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)$$

with $a_1, a_2, b_{kr}, c_k \in \mathbb{F}$.

Now on $\mathbb{F}^2$ consider the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ corresponding to the projective vector fields on $\mathbb{F}P^2$ that vanish at the origin. This algebra tracks $X = x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$, itself belonging to $\mathcal{G}$ since $[Y,X] = -(c_1x_1 + c_2x_2)X$. Note that $\mathcal{G}$ has no ideal of dimension one, but the notion of tracking will allow us to bridge this gap. Note also that X and $\mathcal{G}$ extend to $\mathbb{F}P^2$, but the tracking functions do not.

Observe that $\mathsf{Z}(X) = \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \{(0,0)\}$, and this is an essential X-block.

From $\mathbb{F}P^2$ we construct a new 2-manifold M' over $\mathbb{F}$ by blowing up the origin in $\mathbb{F}^2$, and on it a vector field X' and a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M')$, isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$, which tracks X' (see [8]). Note that the blowup of the origin is $\mathsf{Z}(X')$, an X'-block diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{F}P^1$. As the linear part of X at the origin is the identity, the transversal index of X' at $\mathsf{Z}(X')$ equals one hence $\mathsf{i}_{\mathsf{Z}(X')}(X') = \chi(\mathsf{Z}(X')) = \chi(\mathbb{F}P^1)$. Thus in the real case this block is inessential (for X') while it is essential with index 2 in the complex one. Moreover $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{F})$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{G}'$ because clearly it is a quotient of $\mathcal{G}$.

From the blowup construction follows $\mathcal{G}'$ acts transitively on $\mathsf{Z}(X')$ since any linear vector field on $\mathbb{F}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}$, so $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}') = \varnothing$. Therefore:

- *For the complex case of Theorem 1.1, the supplementary hypothesis* (*) *cannot be deleted even in the non-compact case.*

If we consider the solvable subalgebra $\mathcal{G}'_0$ of $\mathcal{G}'$, corresponding to $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ defined by the supplementary condition $b_{21} = 0$, then $\mathsf{Z}(X') \cap \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}'_0) \neq \varnothing$ since $\mathcal{G}'_0$ vanishes at the point of $\mathbb{F}P^1$ associated to the second axis. In turn, blowing up this common zero gives rise to a new manifold endowed with a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}''_0$, isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}'_0$, and a vector field X'' tracked by

$\mathcal{G}_0''$. Now $\mathsf{Z}(X'')$ is again essential, more exactly $\mathsf{i}_{\mathsf{Z}(X'')}(X'')$ equals -1 in the real case and 3 in the complex one. Therefore $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}_0'') \cap \mathsf{Z}(X'') \neq \varnothing$.

For easily computing the index of X, X' and X'', notice that as a real vector field X is outwardly transverse to the spheres $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ or $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Therefore in each case this index equals the Euler characteristic of the ambient manifold.

Example 3.2. In a more general setting, let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials in x_1, x_2 of degree $n \geq 1$ over $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the $(n+5)$-dimensional Lie algebra of vector fields on $\mathbb{F}^2$ of the form

$$\sum_{k,r=1,2} b_{kr} x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} + \varphi \cdot \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)$$

where $b_{kr} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

As in Example 3.1, set $X = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ tracks X.

Blowing up the origin in $\mathbb{F}^2$ provides a new 2-manifold M over $\mathbb{F}$ endowed with a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ and a vector field X' which is tracked by $\mathcal{G}'$. As before $\mathsf{Z}(X') = \mathbb{F}P^1$ and $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}') = \varnothing$ so $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}') \cap \mathsf{Z}(X') = \varnothing$, while $\mathsf{i}_{\mathsf{Z}(X')}(X')$ equals zero if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and two when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Notice that the dimension of $\mathcal{G}'$ can be taken as large as desired. Thus:

- *In the noncompact complex case, when Theorem 1.1 fails the respective Lie algebra has* $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ *as a quotient but its dimension can be arbitrarily large*

(see Remark 6.2 for the compact case).

Of course one may consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ given by the condition $b_{21} = 0$ and do as in Example 3.1.

Example 3.3. In $\mathbb{F}^3$ with coordinates $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ let $S^2_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the "sphere" given by the equation $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1$. This is the real sphere S^2 if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ it is a noncompact complex 2-manifold whose underlying real manifold is the tangent vector bundle TS^2; but $S^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not biholomorphic to $T\mathbb{C}P^1$ because $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is never a complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^3$. On $S^2_{\mathbb{F}} \subset \mathbb{F}^3$ consider the tangent vector fields

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_3 \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right), \quad Y = -x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_k$ the space of homogeneous polynomials in x_1, x_2 of degree k. Set

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \{aY + \varphi X \colon\ a \in \mathbb{F}, \varphi \in \mathcal{P}_k\},$$

which is a $(k+2)$-dimensional solvable Lie algebra that tracks X.

On $S^2_{\mathbb{F}}$ our X has just two singular points $(0, 0, \pm 1)$, each of them an essential block of index 1. Indeed, first observe that functions x_1, x_2 can

be regarded as coordinates of $S^2_{\mathbb{F}}$ around $(0,0,\pm 1)$ which we name (u_1,u_1). As $X\cdot x_k = -x_3x_k$, $k=1,2$, up to sign the linear part of X at $(0,0,\pm 1)$ equals $u_1\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}+u_2\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}$. Note that $\mathcal{G}_k$ vanishes at these points. Blowing up $(0,0,1)$ and $(0,0,-1)$ gives rise to a 2-manifold M, a vector field X' with two isolated blocks K_1,K_2 associated to these points, and a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}'$ that is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$ and tracks X'.

- *But the behavior of the real and complex cases is quite different.*

Indeed, in the complex one K_1 and K_2 are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and are thus essential blocks and $\mathcal{G}'$ vanishes somewhere in K_1 and in K_2. In the real case M is the Klein bottle, K_1,K_2 are S^1 so non-essential blocks, and $\mathcal{G}'$ does not vanishes at any point of M.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Lie algebra on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ of those projective vector fields Y that on $\mathbb{C}^2\subset\mathbb{C}P^2$ write

$$Y = a_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}+a_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}+\sum_{k,r=1,2} b_{kr}z_k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_r}$$

where $a_k,b_{kr}\in\mathbb{C}$ and $b_{21}=0$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a 3-solvable Lie algebra of dimension five and the vector field represented by $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ spans an ideal of dimension one. Moreover $\mathcal{G}$ vanishes at the infinity point of the second axis (belonging to $\mathbb{C}P^2-\mathbb{C}^2$).

Now by blowing up this point one constructs a second complex surface M_1, of Euler characteristic 4 and simply connected, endowed with a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_1$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$. Again there is some zero of $\mathcal{G}_1$, which can be blown up to construct a simply connected manifold M_2 of Euler characteristic 5, and a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}_2\subset\mathcal{V}^\omega(M_2)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$ and so on. Therefore:

- *For any $m\geq 3$ there exists a simply connected compact complex 2-manifold of characteristic m that supports a 3-solvable Lie algebra of vector fields.*

A similar process can be started from the product of two copies of $\mathbb{C}P^1$, endowed each of them with a 2-dimensional noncommutative Lie algebra, and the product algebra.

Example 3.5. Here we show that for every integer m and every positive integer d there is a compact complex 2-manifold M and a solvable Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ such that

$$\chi(M)=m,\quad \mathsf{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\,\mathcal{A}=d,\quad \mathsf{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\,\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A})=1.$$

First consider a real or complex n-manifold N, with cotangent bundle $\pi\colon T^*N\to N$. On the manifold T^*N define the *Liouville 1-form* ρ by

$$\rho(v)=\alpha(\pi_*v),\qquad \big(\alpha\in T^*N,\ v\in T_\alpha(T^*N)\big)$$

The *Liouville symplectic form* on T^*N is the exterior 2-form $\omega = d\rho$. Given a 1-form β on the manifold T^*N, assumed analytic over the ground field, define the vector field

$$X_\beta \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(T^*N), \qquad \iota_{X_\beta}\omega = \beta \tag{1}$$

where $\iota_{X_\beta}\omega$ is the interior product of ω by X_β.

Now let N be a 1-dimensional complex manifold defined by a orientable compact connected surface of genus $g \geq 1$ endowed with a Kähler structure. The Dolbeault cohomology group of N in dimension 1, which is isomorphic to the singular cohomomlogy group $H^1(N, \mathbb{R})$, has a basis represented by g holomorphic 1-forms

$$\alpha_j := \lambda_j + i\mu_j.$$

Using Equation (1), set

$$X_j := X_{\pi^*\alpha_j} \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(T^*N), \qquad (j = 1, \ldots, g)$$

and let X_{g+1} denote the radial vector field on T^*N. By means of coordinates it is easily checked that $X_1, \ldots, X_g$ and X_{g+1} are tangent to the fibres T^*_pN, $(p \in N)$, with each X_j, $j = 1, \ldots, g$, constant and X_{g+1} linear. Moreover $[X_j, X_{g+1}] = X_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, g$.

Let $\pi\colon\ Q \to N$ be the compactification of $\pi\colon\ T^*N \to N$ given by Remark 2.2. The vector fields X_k, $k = 1, \ldots, g+1$, extend to holomorphic vector fields $\hat{X}_k \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(Q)$ such that $Q_\infty \subset \mathsf{Z}(\hat{X}_k)$ where Q_∞ denotes the infinity section of Q. It is easy to see that $\hat{X}_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_{g+1}$ form a basis of a solvable complex Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(Q)$ of dimension $g + 1$. Evidently $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ is the union of the 1-dimensional complex submanifold Q_∞ and the image by the zero section of the set of common zeros of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_g$. Because the holomorphy this last set is always finite, so we can reasonably write $\mathsf{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\, \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = 1$.

Note that $\chi(Q) = 4(1 - g)$. By blowing up r zeros of $\mathcal{G}$ we obtain a compact complex 2-manifold M with $\chi(M) = 4(1 - g) + r$ and a solvable Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$. Finally, take g and r such that $4(1 - g) + r = m$ and $g \geq d$, and a Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ of dimension d.

4 Consequences of tracking

Throughout this section we assume:

- *P is a real or complex n-manifold with empty boundary.*
- *X, Y are differentiable vector fields on P and Y tracks X with tracking function f.*

When P is complex and X nontrivial on each connected component of P, our function f is holomorphic. Indeed, locally in coordinates f is meromorphic because $[Y, X]$ "divided" by X equals f, so holomorphic since it

is continuous. *Thus if P is compact, connected and complex, the tracking function f is constant.*

In the real case f can be just continuous at some point. For instance, on $\mathbb{R}$ set $Y = x^4\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $X = g\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ where $g(x) = e^{-1/x}$ if $x > 0$, $g(x) = e^{-1/x^2}$ if $x < 0$ and $g(0) = 0$. A computation shows that $f(x) = x^2 - 4x^3$ if $x > 0$, $f(x) = 2x - 4x^3$ if $x < 0$ and $f(0) = 0$; hence it is not derivable at the origin.

If Y_1 is another differentiable vector field tracking X with function f_1, and f, f_1 are at least C^1, which automatically holds if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, from the Jacobi identity follows $[Y, Y_1]$ tracks X.

By definition the *dependency set* of X and Y (over the ground field $\mathbb{F}$) is

$$\mathsf{D}(X, Y) = \big\{p \in M\colon\ (X \wedge_{\mathbb{F}} Y)(p) = 0\big\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *If Y tracks X then $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ and $\mathsf{D}(X, Y)$ are X- and Y-invariant.*

Proof. Evidently $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is X-invariant. Let us see its Y-invariance. Consider an integral curve $\gamma\colon\ A \to P$ of Y where A is a connected open set $\mathbb{F}$. Suppose $\gamma(t_0) \in \mathsf{Z}(X)$; then $\gamma(t) \in \mathsf{Z}(X)$ for any $t \in A$ sufficiently close to t_0. Indeed, if $Y(\gamma(t_0)) = 0$ it is obvious; otherwise as the statement is local by means of suitable coordinates we may assume P is a product of intervals ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$) or a polydisk ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$) always centered at $\gamma(t_0) = (0, \dots, 0)$, $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ and $X = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$. Now $[Y, X] = fX$ means

$$\frac{\partial g_k}{\partial x_1} = f g_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2}$$

Since f is continuous ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$) or holomorphic ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$) the general solution to equation (2)

$$g_k(x) = h_k(x_2, \dots, x_n)e^{\varphi}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} = f$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ whenever $x_1 = 0$. But $\gamma(t_0) = 0$ so each $h_k(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ and X vanishes along the first axis.

Therefore the set $A' = \{t \in A\colon\ \gamma(t) \in \mathsf{Z}(X)\}$ is open and closed, so $A' = A$ or $A' = \varnothing$, which proves the Y-invariance of $\mathsf{Z}(X)$.

The X- and Y-invariance of $\mathsf{D}(X, Y)$ is proved in the same way by taking into account that $L_X(X \wedge_{\mathbb{F}} Y) = 0$ and letting $L_Y(X \wedge_{\mathbb{F}} Y) = fX \wedge_{\mathbb{F}} Y$. ∎

5 Vanishing of the index and other results

In the first lemma of this section we assume:

- *P is a real n-manifold*

- $X \in \mathcal{V}^\infty(P)$
- *K is an X-block and $U \subset P$ is isolating for (X, K).*

Lemma 5.1. *Assume:*

(a) *K is a compact submanifold,*

(b) *$X_1, \dots, X_r \in \mathcal{V}^\infty(U)$ are tangent to K, and their values are linearly independent at each point of K,*

(c) $X = \sum_{j=1}^{r} f_j X_j$ *on U.*

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{V}(M)$ be a neighborhood of X in the compact-open topology. Then there exists $X' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that:

$$X' = X \ \text{ on } M \setminus U, \qquad \mathsf{Z}(X') \cap U = \varnothing.$$

Then $\mathsf{i}_K(X) = 0$.

Proof. Take a tubular neighborhood W of K such that $W \subset U$ and identify it with an orthogonal vector bundle $\pi\colon E \to K$, with the norm in each fibre denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. Set $E_a := \{e \in E\colon \|e\| < a\}$ for each $a > 0$, so that $\overline{E}_a \subset U$. Let $\varphi_a\colon M \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative function with support in E_a such that $\varphi_a^{-1}(0) \cap K = \varnothing$. If a is small enough, for each $e \in E_a$ the vector subspace spanned by $X_1(e), \dots, X_r(e)$ is almost transverse to the fibre of e, so its intersection with $T_e(\pi^1((e)))$ equals $\{0\}$. Let R denote the radial vector field on E.

For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the vector field $X' \in \mathcal{V}(M)$ defined as

$$X'|\,U := X + \epsilon\varphi_a(X_1 + R), \qquad X'|\,M\setminus W : X|\,M\setminus W$$

has the required properties. ∎

Let us recall some well known facts on jets of vector fields useful later on. Consider a set $\mathcal{B}$ of vector fields on a manifold Q. Given $p \in Q$ and $k \geq 0$ set $\mathcal{B}_k(p)\colon = \{Y \in \mathcal{B}\colon\ j_p^k Y = 0\}$, while $\mathcal{B}_{-1}(p)\colon = \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}(p)\colon = \{Y(p)\colon\ Y \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset T_pQ$. Every $\mathcal{B}_{k-1}(p)/\mathcal{B}_k(p)$, $k \geq 0$, can be regarded as a subset of $T_pQ \otimes S^k(T_p^*Q)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{-1}(p)/\mathcal{B}_k(p)$ as a subset of the set of all polynomial vector fields on T_pQ of degree $\leq k$. When $\mathcal{B}$ is a Lie algebra $[\mathcal{B}_k(p), \mathcal{B}_r(p)] \subset \mathcal{B}_{k+r}(p)$, $k + r \geq -1$, therefore each $\mathcal{B}_k(p)$, $k \geq 0$, is a Lie algebra and every $\mathcal{B}_{k+s}(p)$, $k, s \geq 0$, an ideal of $\mathcal{B}_k(p)$. If Q is connected and $\mathcal{B}$ a finite dimensional analytic Lie algebra then $\mathcal{B}_k(p)$, $k \geq 1$, is nilpotent and $\mathcal{B}_r(p) = 0$ for some r.

A (piecewise differentiable) *curve tangent to* $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite family $\mathcal{C}$ of integral curves $\gamma_j\colon A_j \to Q$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ defined on connected open subsets of $\mathbb{F}$, such that $A_r \cap A_{r+1} \neq \varnothing$, $r = 1, \dots, k-1$. We say that

$\mathcal{C}$ *joins* p to q if $p \in A_1$ and $q \in A_k$. The $\mathcal{B}$-*orbit* of p is the set of all points $q \in Q$ joined to p by some curve tangent to $\mathcal{B}$.

When $\mathcal{B}(p) = T_pQ$ it is easily seen that p belongs to the interior of its $\mathcal{B}$-orbit. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a finite dimensional Lie algebra then the $\mathcal{B}$-orbit of p is a submanifold of P, not always regular, whose dimension equals that of the vector subspace $\mathcal{B}(p) \subset T_pQ$, [23] (a submanifold of Q is called *embedded or regular* when its topology as submanifold and that as topological subspace of Q coincide).

From Lie's work [18] follows :

Lemma 5.2. *Let Q be an 1-dimensional connected manifold and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(Q)$ be a nonzero finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{F}$, the affine algebra of $\mathbb{F}$, or $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{F})$.*

In the next three results assume:

- *N is a connected complex surface.*
- *$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(N)$ is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $X \in \mathcal{V}^\omega(N)$ is nontrivial.*

Lemma 5.3. *The points of $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ are isolated, and $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathsf{Z}(X)$ when $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$.*

Indeed, if $p \in \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ then since $\mathcal{A}$ is simple $\mathcal{A}_0(p)/\mathcal{A}_1(p)$ equals the special linear algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(T_pN)$, so p is isolated in $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Besides, if $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ being isolated clearly implies $X(p) = 0$.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$. Consider a point $p \in N$ such that $X(p) \neq 0$. Then around p there exist coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$, with $p \equiv 0$, such that the vector fields*

$$Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad Y_2 = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad Y_3 = z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + 2a z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\,, \ a \in \mathbb{C},$$

are a basis of the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to the domain of coordinates, and $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$.

Proof. As $\mathcal{A}$ is simple its projection on the local quotient N' of N by (the foliation associated to) X is either zero or a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}$. If zero, each $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ is proportional to X, which is incompatible with the hypothesis $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$. Therefore as $\mathsf{dim}\, N' = 1$ there exists a coordinate z_1, around the projection p' of p in N', such that $z_1(p') = 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$$

span $\mathcal{A}'$.

This coordinate z_1 may be regarded as a function around p and adding a new function z_2, such that $z_2(p) = 0$ and $X \cdot z_2 = 1$, leads to a system

of coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$, defined on a domain identified through z to a polydisk centered at the origin (shrink it if necessary), in which $p \equiv 0$, $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ and

$$Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad Y_2 = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad Y_3 = z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + f_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},$$

for suitable functions f_1, f_2, f_3, span $\mathcal{A}$ (more exactly its restriction to the domain of coordinates). Observe that each f_k only depends on z_1 because $[X, Y_k] = 0$.

Taking $z_2 + g(z_1)$ instead of z_2 for a suitable function $g(z_1)$ allows us to suppose $Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$. Then as $[Y_1, Y_2] = Y_1$, $[Y_1, Y_3] = 2Y_2$ and $[Y_2, Y_3] = Y_3$ (project into $\mathcal{A}'$ to see this), a straightforward computation shows that f_2, f_3 are as stated. ∎

Lemma 5.5. *Consider a point $p \in N$ such that $X(p) = 0$ and $dim\mathcal{A}(p) = 1$.*

(a) *If $\mathcal{A}$ tracks X then either all orbits of $\mathcal{A}$ near p have dimension one and X is tangent to them, or about p there exist coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$, with $p \equiv 0$, an integer $n \geq 1$ and functions $h(z_1, z_2)$, $f(z_2)$, $g(z_2)$ with $h = (0, 0) \neq 0$ and $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ such that*

$$X = h(z_1, z_2) z_2^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

and the vector fields

$$Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad Y_2 = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + f(z_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad Y_3 = z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + (2 z_1 f(z_2) + g(z_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

are a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ (under restriction).

(b) *If $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ then about p there exist coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$, with $p \equiv 0$, an integer $n \geq 1$ and scalars $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$X = a z_2^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

and the vector fields

$$Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \quad Y_2 = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + b z_2^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \quad Y_3 = z_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + 2 b z_1 z_2^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

are a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ (under restriction).

Proof. The $\mathcal{A}$-orbit of p is a submanifold N' of dimension one and $\mathcal{A}$ is tangent to it. Therefore there exist a coordinate u, defined on a small open set $p \in N'' \subset N'$ with $u(p) = 0$, and three vector fields Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 which span $\mathcal{A}$ such that under restriction to N'' respectively write

$$Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad Y_2 = u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad Y_3 = u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Thus $[Y_1, Y_2] = Y_1$, $[Y_1, Y_3] = 2Y_2$ and $[Y_2, Y_3] = Y_3$.

Around p in N our u can be extended to a function z_1 such that $Y_1 \cdot z_1 = 1$. Take a second function z_2 vanishing at p such that $Y_1 \cdot z_2 = 0$ and $(dz_1 \wedge dz_2)(p) \neq 0$; then $z = (z_1, z_2)$ near $p \equiv 0$ is a system of coordinates with domain of polydisk type (shrink it if necessary) such that $Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$. Note that $z_2 = 0$ defines an open set of N' which includes p. Besides as $[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, Y_2] = [Y_1, Y_2] = Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$, $[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, Y_3] = [Y_1, Y_3] = 2Y_2$ and $Y_2(p) = Y_3(p) = 0$ one has

$$Y_2 = (z_1 + f_1(z_2))\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + f_2(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},$$

$$Y_3 = \left(z_1^2 + 2z_1 f_1(z_2) + g_1(z_2)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + (2z_1 f_2(z_2) + g_2(z_2))\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$$

where $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = g_1(0) = g_2(0) = 0$.

In case (b), $[Y_1, X] = 0$. In case (a) since Y_1 tracks X and $Y_1(p) \neq 0$ there always exists a function λ, defined around p, with no zero such that $[Y_1, \lambda X] = 0$. Therefore as $\mathcal{A}$ tracks λX too and it suffices to prove the result for λX, we may assume $[Y_1, X] = 0$ without lost of generality. Thus $X = h_1(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + h_2(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ with $h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 0$. Since X is nontrivial, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $X = z_2^n(\varphi_1(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \varphi_2(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2})$ where at least $\varphi_1(0) \neq 0$ or $\varphi_2(0) \neq 0$.

Assume $\varphi_1(0) \neq 0$ and $\varphi_2(0) = 0$. Set $\widetilde{X} = \varphi_1(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \varphi_2(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$; then $\widetilde{X} \wedge [\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ because $\mathcal{A}$ tracks X. Let Q be the quotient 1-manifold, around p, of N by $\widetilde{X}$ and let q be the projection of p. Then $\mathcal{A}$ projects onto a Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of vector fields on Q, which is either zero or simple. But $\mathcal{B}(q) = 0$ since $(\widetilde{X} \wedge Y_1)(p) = 0$ and $Y_2(p) = Y_3(p) = 0$, so $\mathcal{B} = 0$. In other words $\mathcal{A}$ is tangent to $\widetilde{X}$ and $Y_1 \wedge \widetilde{X} = 0$ everywhere, which proves the first possibility in the case (a). In the case (b) one has $X = h_1(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ and $Y_2 = (z_1 + f_1(z_2))\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ so $[X, Y_2] \neq 0$, *contradiction.*

In short we may suppose $\varphi_2(0) \neq 0$. Now taking $z_1 + g(z_2)$ instead z_1 for a suitable function $g(z_2)$ allows us to suppose $\widetilde{X} = \varphi_2(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ and $X = z_2^n\varphi_2(z_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$. On the other hand it is well known that z_2 can be modified in such a way that $X = az_2^n\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$, $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

The remainder of the proof easily follows from the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ tracks X (case (a)) or $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ (case (b)) and $[Y_2, Y_3] = Y_3$, and it is left to the reader. ∎

6 Proofs of the main theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1

Take an isolating open set U that we can suppose connected since at least the index of X at one of its components has to be nonzero in the general case and negative or odd (or both) in the particular one (by particular case we

mean that M is complex and it has $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ as a quotient). As there always exists a decreasing sequence $\{M_r\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ of precompact connected open sets of M any of them containing $\overline{U}$ such that $\bigcap_{r\in\mathbb{N}}\overline{M}_r=\overline{U}$, replacing M with a suitable M_r allows to suppose U "almost-equal" M and $\mathsf{Z}(X)=K$.

We assume henceforth

$$\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G})\cap K=\varnothing,$$

otherwise the proof is finished. Then K is a regular submanifold of dimension one.

Indeed, clearly $\mathsf{dim}\,\mathcal{G}(p)\geq 1$ for any $p\in K$. Now if $\mathsf{dim}\,\mathcal{G}(q)=2$ for some $q\in K$, as by Proposition 4.1 the q-orbit under $\mathcal{G}$ is included in K, then $\mathsf{Int}\,K\neq\varnothing$ and, by analyticity, $K=U$ that is $X=0$, *contradiction*. In short, $\mathsf{dim}\,\mathcal{G}(p)=1$, $p\in K$. Given any $p\in K$, take $Y\in\mathcal{G}$ with $Y(p)\neq 0$ and consider coordinates (A,x_1,x_2) around $p\equiv(0,0)$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.1; let T be the transversal to Y defined by $x_1=0$. Then $K\cap T$ is the set of zeros of two analytic functions one of them at least nontrivial; so it is isolated in T and only one point if A is sufficiently small. In this last case $A\cap K$ is given by the equation $x_2=0$, which shows that K is a regular submanifold of dimension one.

One may assume K connected and still $\mathsf{Z}(X)=K$ by shrinking U and M, if necessary, since the index of X at some of the components has to be nonzero in the general case and negative or odd in the particular one.

First suppose the existence of $Y\in\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap K=\varnothing$. If the dependency set $\mathsf{D}(X,Y)$ equals M then on a small open neighborhood of K apply Lemma 5.1 to $X,X_1=Y$ if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or to $X,X_1=Y,X_2=iY$ regarded as real vector fields if $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$, for concluding $\mathsf{i}_K(X)=0$, *contradiction*.

Therefore $\mathsf{D}(X,Y)\neq M$. Since $\mathsf{D}(X,Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(Y))$ is Y-saturated reasoning as in the case of K shows that $\mathsf{D}(X,Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(Y))$ is a regular 1-submanifold, which clearly included K. Therefore K is a component of $\mathsf{D}(X,Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(Y))$ and there exists an open neighborhood A of K such that $A\cap\mathsf{D}(X,Y)=K$. Let $\phi:M\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function with a very narrow support around K such that $\phi(K)=1$; regard X and Y as real vector fields. Then the vector field $X_1:=X+\epsilon\phi Y$, $\epsilon>0$, has no zero on M and $\mathsf{i}_K(X)=0$, *contradiction*.

In short, $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap K\neq\varnothing$ for every $Y\in\mathcal{G}$.

Thus $K=S^1$ in the real case (obvious) and $K=\mathbb{C}P^1$ in the complex one. Indeed, there always exists $Y\in\mathcal{G}$ whose restriction to K does not vanish identically; but this restriction has zeros, all of them of positive index because the holomorphy, so $\chi(K)>0$.

Define

$$\mathcal{I}:=\{Y\in\mathcal{G}\colon\ Y|K=0\},$$

which is an ideal in $\mathcal{G}$. The image of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{V}^\omega(K)$ maps $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{I}$ isomorphically onto a subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{V}^\omega(K)$. Moreover each element of $\mathcal{H}$ vanishes somewhere and $\mathcal{H}$ is transitive, that is $\mathsf{dim}\,\mathcal{H}(p)=1$ for any $p\in K$, because $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G})\cap K=\varnothing$.

As $\dim K = 1$, from Lemma 5.2 follows that up to isomorphism $\mathcal{H}$ has to be either $\{0\}$, a 1-dimensional algebra (both of them clearly non-transitive), the affine algebra of $\mathbb{F}$, or $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{F})$.

First assume $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, so we are in the particular case and $\mathsf{i}_K(X)$ is negative or odd. On the other hand since $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is simple there exists a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ isomorphic under restriction to $\mathcal{H}$ [15].

Consider a point $p \in K$. If near p all orbits of $\mathcal{A}$ have dimension one and X is tangent to them, by analyticity since K is an $\mathcal{A}$-orbit of dimension one and X is tangent to it there is an open neighborhood D of K such that any $\mathcal{A}$-orbit on D has dimension one and X is tangent to it. Thus on D the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}$ defines a 1-foliation $\mathcal{F}$ to which X is tangent. But K is a compact simply connected leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, so near it the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is a product.

Let $L \neq K$ be a compact leaf sufficiently close to K. Then X, which is tangent to L, does not vanish on it so $\chi(L) = 0$. But topologically L is $\mathbb{C}P^1$, *contradiction*.

Therefore from (a) of Lemma 5.5 applied to X and $\mathcal{A}$ (we have just seen that the first alternative of (a) is forbidden) follows that $\mathsf{i}_K(X)$ equals $2n > 0$ since transversally to K the index of X is n. But we are in the particular case and $\mathsf{i}_K(X)$ is negative or odd, *contradiction again*. In short never $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Now assume $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Then there is $T \in \mathcal{H}$ which does not belong to any 2-subalgebra; for instance if ad_T has some non-real eigenvalue [15]. This means that T never vanishes on K, otherwise if $T(q) = 0$ for some $q \in K$ then T belongs to the 2-dimensional subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_0(q)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is excluded as well.

Finally if $\mathcal{H}$ is the affine algebra of $\mathbb{F}$ there exists a basis $\{T_1, T_2\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $[T_1, T_2] = T_2$. But $T_2(q) = 0$ for some $q \in K$ so $T_1(q) = 0$ too, otherwise $[T_1, T_2] \neq T_2$. In other words the affine algebra is not transitive.

Summing up there is no way for choosing the subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$, so assuming $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \cap K = \varnothing$ leads to contradiction. *Therefore the proof is finishes.*

Proof of Theorem 2.6

First recall some elementary facts on $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$:

Remark 6.1. Given $\varphi \in \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$ one can find a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that:

- $\varphi = a(e_1 \otimes e_1^* - e_2 \otimes e_2^*)$, $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, if φ is invertible. In this case the connected subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ whose subalgebra is spanned by φ is closed and isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

- $\varphi = e_2 \otimes e_1^*$ if φ is not invertible. Now the connected subgroup determined by φ is closed and isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$.

Therefore the projective vector field Y_φ associated to φ can be identified, under conjugation by $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$, to that whose restriction to $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$ is written as:

- $2az\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, if φ is invertible. Then the vector field Y_φ possesses two singularities on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ both of them of index 1 and eigenvalues of its linear part $\pm 2(-det\varphi)^{1/2}$.

- $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ if φ is not invertible. Observe that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ are $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$ conjugated, so Y_φ can be represented by $z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ too.

And now the proof of Theorem 2.6.

First recall that if Q is a connected complex manifold of dimension one and $\mathcal{B} \subset V^\omega(Q)$ a finite dimensional Lie algebra such that $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{B}) \neq \varnothing$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is solvable (see Lemma 5.2).

Now assume $\mathsf{Z}(X) \cap \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \varnothing$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is a compact 1-submanifold of M; obviously non-empty since $\chi(M) \neq 0$. Moreover it has to exist a component K of $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$, such that the image $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathcal{V}^\omega(K)$ under the restriction is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$; otherwise $K \cap \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \varnothing$.

As $\mathcal{H}$ is simple there is a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ isomorphic under restriction to $\mathcal{H}$. This algebra $\mathcal{A}$ tracks X, so $[Y,X] = a_Y X$, $a_Y \in \mathbb{C}$, for any $Y \in \mathcal{A}$. But $\{Y \in \mathcal{A}\colon\ a_Y = 0\}$ is a nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{A}$; therefore every $a_Y = 0$ and $[X,\mathcal{A}] = 0$. Let P be a component of $\mathsf{Z}(X)$. If $P \cap \mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \varnothing$ then the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to P has to be solvable, so zero. That is $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \supset P$, which contradicts Lemma 5.3. In short $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathsf{Z}(X) = \varnothing$, so $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \varnothing$ since again by Lemma 5.3 $\mathsf{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathsf{Z}(X)$, and $\mathcal{A}$ acts transitively on every component of $\mathsf{Z}(X)$. All these components are spheres that is $\mathbb{C}P^1$ because on each of them some $Y \in \mathcal{A}\setminus\{0\}$ has a zero.

On the connected open set $M \setminus \mathsf{Z}(X)$ the vector field X defines a complex 1-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$, which is the real 2-foliation associated to the commuting vector fields X, iX. Thus its leaves are planes, cylinders or tori because X is complete on $M \setminus \mathsf{Z}(X)$.

Each leaf of L of $\mathcal{F}$ is closed in $M \setminus \mathsf{Z}(X)$. Let us see it; given $p \in L$, Lemma 5.4 shows the existence of $Y \in \mathcal{A}\setminus\{0\}$ and an open set $p \in D \subset M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$ such that the connected component of $L\cap D$ relative to p is included in $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap D$. Therefore $L \subset \mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))$ since $[X,Y]=0$ implies that $\mathsf{Z}(Y)$ is X-invariant; even more $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))$ is a union of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

But the same lemma shows that $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))$ is a closed regular 1-submanifold of $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$. Since different leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are disjoint it follows that L is a component of $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))$; in other words L is an open and closed subset of $\mathsf{Z}(Y)\cap(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))$, so closed in $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$.

On the other hand since M is compact, the group $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ acts on M, with infinitesimal action $\mathcal{A}$, and on $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$ as well. Observe that this action is $\mathcal{F}$-foliate and transversally transitive. Therefore given $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ there always exists $g \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that $g\cdot L_1 = L_2$.

Now take $p \in \mathsf{Z}(X)$ and consider coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$ like in (b) of Lemma 5.5 with domain A of polydisk type. Then the trace of $\mathcal{F}$ on A is given by the slices $z_1 = \textit{constant}$, and $\mathsf{Z}(X) \cap A$ by $z_2 = 0$. Thus the set defined by the conditions $z_1 = 0$ and $z \neq (0,0)$ is included in a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$. Therefore L and any leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ are noncompact, that is they are (real) planes or cylinders. Moreover $L \cup \{p\}$ as real surface has one end less than L. In this way adding the points of $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ gives rise to a new complex 1-foliation $\mathcal{F}'$ on M, whose trace on $M \setminus \mathsf{Z}(X)$ is $\mathcal{F}$, and the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on the set of its leaves is still transitive.

Notice that any leaf $\tilde{L}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ at most intersects two slices of A; indeed, if S is a slice of A and $S \cap \tilde{L} \neq \varnothing$ then this non-empty intersection defines an end of $\tilde{L}$. Therefore since the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are closed in $M \setminus \mathsf{Z}(X)$ those of $\mathcal{F}'$ are closed, so compact, in M.

The procedure above kills the ends of every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, so each leaf of $\mathcal{F}'$ is topologically the sphere S^2 so $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Since the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is transversally transitive the foliation $\mathcal{F}'$ is given by a (complex) fibre bundle $\pi\colon\ M \to Q$ where Q is a compact connected complex 1-manifold.

Finally from $[X, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ follows that $\mathcal{A}$ projects onto a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{V}^\omega(Q)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Therefore Q is the projective line so from now on we will write $\pi\colon\ M \to \mathbb{C}P^1$. Observe that $\chi(M) = 4$.

Let P be a component of $\mathsf{Z}(X)$; as we said before P is the complex projective line. Consider a leaf L' of $\mathcal{F}'$; then $P \cap L'$ is a singleton. Indeed, some leaf L'' of $\mathcal{F}'$ intersects P so any does because they are interchangeable under $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. As every point of $P \cap L'$ means an end of $L' \setminus \mathsf{Z}(X)$, the set $P \cap L'$ does not have more than two elements. Suppose it has two; then on P we define the equivalence relation $p_1 \mathcal{R} p_2$ if and only if there exists $L'' \in \mathcal{F}'$ such that $P \cap L'' = \{p_1, p_2\}$. It is easily checked that the quotient $P/\mathcal{R}$ possesses a structure of complex 1-manifold but, topologically, is $\mathbb{R}P^2$ *contradiction*.

From Lemma 5.5 follows that transversally to P the index of X equals n. Since $\chi(M) = 4$ we have just two possibilities:

- $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ has two components and $n = 1$, that is the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are cylinders.
- $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is connected and $n = 2$, that is the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are (real) planes.

First assume the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are cylinders.

Set $\mathsf{Z}(X) = P_1 \cup P_2$ as union of its components. The eigenvalue of the linear part of X transversally to P_1 is a holomorphic function on P_1, so constant. Thus considering aX instead of X for a suitable $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, allows assuming that this eigenvalue equals one. Therefore for each $\pi^{-1}(q)$, $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, the projective vector field X has two singularities of index 1 and the eigenvalue of its linear part at $\pi^{-1}(q) \cap P_1$ equals 1. By Remark 6.1 $(\pi^{-1}(q) \cap (M - P_2), X)$ is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}, z\frac{\partial}{\partial z})$.

Since any diffeomeomorphism $\rho\colon\ \mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ which preserves $z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ is a linear automorphism and the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ associated to $\mathcal{A}$ preserves X, it follows that $\pi\colon\ M-P_2\to\mathbb{C}P^1$ is a line fibre bundle endowed with a fibre action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. Now it is obvious that X and $\mathcal{A}$ follow the construction of Model 2.4.

Now assume the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are planes.

Then $\pi\colon\ M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)\to\mathbb{C}P^1$ is a homotopy equivalence. As $[X,\mathcal{A}]=0$ and X is transversal to the orbits of the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$, they are diffeomorphic so with the same dimension.

If this dimension equals two then $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is an orbit of the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$; indeed, orbits in $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$ are open and $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is connected. Take $p\in M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$; by Lemma 5.4 any $Y\in\mathcal{A}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $Y(p)=0$ is the fundamental vector field associated to some $\varphi\in\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})\setminus\{0\}$ with $det\varphi=0$ (consider the first variable). Therefore $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is, as homogeneous space, the quotient of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ by a closed subgroup H (the isotropy group of p) whose identity component is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Now the homotopy sequence of the fibre bundle

$$H\to SL(2,\mathbb{C})\to M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$$

shows that $\pi_2(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))=0$ (topologically $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is $S^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$). But $\pi_2(M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X))=\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)=\mathbb{Z}$ *contradiction.*

Thus the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ on M defines a second foliation of dimension one $\mathcal{F}''$ transversal to $\mathcal{F}'$. Observe that $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is a compact leaf of $\mathcal{F}''$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^1$, so simply connected. Therefore near $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ the foliation $\mathcal{F}''$ is a product.

As X is transversal to $\mathcal{F}''$ on $M\setminus\mathsf{Z}(X)$, then all the leaves of $\mathcal{F}''$ are $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and $\mathcal{F}''$ is defined by fibre bundle $\pi'\colon\ M\to Q'$ where Q' is a compact connected complex 1-manifold. But X projects onto Q' in a nontrivial vector field, so Q' is $\mathbb{C}P^1$ and the fibration becomes $\pi'\colon\ M\to\mathbb{C}P^1$.

In short $\pi\times\pi'\colon\ M\to\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ is a local diffeomorphism so a covering and, finally, a diffeomorphism because $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ is simply connected. Thus $\pi\times\pi'$ identifies M and $\mathbb{C}P^1\times\mathbb{C}P^1$ in such a way that $\mathcal{F}'$ is the foliation associated to the second factor and $\mathcal{F}''$ that given by the first factor. Now it is obvious that X and $\mathcal{A}$ are constructed like in Model 2.1. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Remark 6.2 (On the algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of Theorem 2.6)**.** Consider $\mathcal{G}$ as in Theorem 2.6 and the fibre bundle $\pi\colon\ M\to\mathbb{C}P^1$ given in this result. Let $\mathcal{A}_m\subset\mathcal{V}^\omega(M)$ be the maximal Lie algebra which includes $\mathcal{A}$ and tracks X (actually it normalizes X because M is compact). Clearly $\mathcal{G}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_m$, and $\mathcal{A}_m$ projects onto the Lie algebra of projective vector fields of $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Set

$$\mathcal{I}_m\colon\ =\{Y\in\mathcal{A}_m\colon\ \pi_*(Y)=0\}$$

that is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}_m$.

For every $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\mathcal{I}_m \mid \pi^{-1}(q)$ is included in the normalizer of $X \mid \pi^{-1}(q)$, and as $\pi^{-1}(q) = \mathbb{C}P^1$ follows that $\mathcal{I}_m \mid \pi^{-1}(q)$ is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of projective vector fields, with dimension one if $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ consists of two connected components and two if $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is connected (see the proof of Theorem 2.6).

In the first case every $Y \in \mathcal{I}_m$ is written as $Y = fX$ where f is a holomorphic function so constant. That is to say $\mathcal{I}_m$ equals $\mathbb{C}\{X\} := \{aX\colon\ a \in \mathbb{C}\}$, which implies that $\mathcal{A}_m$ is the direct product of $\mathcal{I}_m$ and $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A}_m$.

Now assume $\mathsf{Z}(X)$ is connected. Then $M = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, π is the first projection, $\mathcal{A}$ can be seen as the Lie algebra of projective vector fields on the first factor and X as a vector field on the second factor (see the proof of Theorem 2.6 again). Hence one may choose a vector field $\widehat{X}$ tangent to the second factor such that $[\widehat{X}, X] = X$, $[\widehat{X}, \mathcal{A}] = 0$ and $\{X \mid \pi^{-1}(q), \widehat{X} \mid \pi^{-1}(q)\}$ is a basis of the normalizer of $X \mid \pi^{-1}(q)$, $q \in \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Therefore if $Y \in \mathcal{I}_m$ then $Y = aX + \hat{a}\widehat{X}$, $a, \hat{a} \in \mathbb{C}$ (coefficients have to be holomorphic functions so constant). Thus $\mathcal{A}_m$ is the direct product of $\mathcal{A}$ and the 2-dimensional Lie algebra spanned by $X, \widehat{X}$, while $\mathcal{G}$ equals either $\mathcal{A}_m$, $\mathcal{A}$ or the direct product of $\mathbb{C}\{X\}$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

Summing up, in compact connected complex surfaces Theorem 1.1 only fails with three Lie algebras: $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and the product of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ with the affine algebra of $\mathbb{C}$ (compare this fact to Example 3.2).

References

[1] M. Belliart, *Actions sans points fixes sur les surfaces compactes*, Math. Z. **225** (1997), 453–465.

[2] C. Bonatti, *Champs de vecteurs analytiques commutants, en dimension* 3 *ou* 4*: existence de zéros communs*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N. S.) **22** (1992), 215–247.

[3] C. Bonatti & B. Santiago, *Existence of common zeros for commuting vector fields on 3-manifolds,*

arxiv:1504.06104 (2015).

[4] A. Borel, *Groupes linéaires algebriques,*

Ann. Math. **64** (1956), 20–80.

[5] A. Dold, "Lectures on Algebraic Topology." Die Grundlehren der matematischen Wissenschaften Bd. 52, second edition. Springer, New York 1972.

[6] D. Eisenbud, personal communication (2015).

[7] D. Gottlieb, *A de Moivre like formula for fixed point theory*, in: "Fixed Point Theory and its Applications (Berkeley, CA, 1986)." Contemporary Mathematics **72**

Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1988.

[8] P. Griffiths & J. Harris, "Principles of Algebraic Geometry." John Wiley & Sons, New York 1978.

[9] M. Hirsch, "Differential Topology," Springer-Verlag, New York 1976.

[10] M. Hirsch, *Actions of Lie groups and Lie algebras on manifolds*, in "A Celebration of the Mathematical Legacy of Raoul Bott." Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, U. de Montréal. Proceedings & Lecture Notes **50**, (P. R. Kotiuga, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence RI 2010.

[11] M. Hirsch, *Fixed points of local actions of nilpotent Lie groups on surfaces*, arxiv.org/abs/1405.2331 (2013).

[12] M. Hirsch, *Common zeros of families of smooth vector fields on surfaces*, arxiv.org/abs/1506.02185.pdf (2015).

[13] M. Hirsch & A. Weinstein, *Fixed points of analytic actions of supersoluble Lie groups on compact surfaces*, Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. **21** (2001), 1783–1787.

[14] H. Hopf, *Vektorfelder in Mannifgfaltigkeiten*, Math. Annalen **95** (1925), 340–367.

[15] N. Jacobson, "Lie Algebras," Interscience, New York 1962 (Reprinted by Dover, 1979).

[16] B. Jubin, *A generalized Poincaré-Hopf index theorem*, arxiv.org/0903.0697 (2009).

[17] S. Lefschetz, *On the fixed point formula,*

Ann. Math. **38** (1937) 819–822.

[18] S. Lie, "Gruppenregister. Gesammelte Abhandlungen", vol. 5, pp. 767–773, B.G. Teubner, Leipzig 1924.

[19] E. Lima, *Common singularities of commuting vector fields on 2-manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 97–110.

[20] P. Molino, Review of Bonatti [2], Math Reviews 93h:57044, Amer. Math. Soc. (1993).

[21] M. Morse, *Singular Points of Vector Fields Under General Boundary Conditions,* Amer. J. Math. **52** (1929), 165–178.

[22] J. Munkres, "Elementary Differential Topology." Annals Study 54, Princeton University Press, Princeton New Jersey 1963.

[23] R. S. Palais, "A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups," Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 22 (1957).

[24] J. Plante, *Fixed points of Lie group actions on surfaces,* Erg. Th. Dyn. Sys. **6** (1986), 149–161.

[25] H. Poincaré, *Sur les courbes définies par une équation différentielle,* J. Math. Pures Appl. **1** (1885), 167–244.

[26] C. Pugh, *A generalized Poincaré index formula,* Topology **7** (1968), 217–226.

[27] C. Schneider, *SL(2, R) actions on surfaces,*

Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 511–528.

[28] S. Smale, *Diffeomorphisms of the 2-sphere,*

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 621–626.

[29] A. Sommese, *Borel's fixed point theorem for Kaehler manifolds and an application,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 51–54.

[30] E. Spanier, "Algebraic Topology," McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).

[31] N. Steenrod, "The Topology of Fiber Bundles." Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ 1951.

[32] D. Stowe, *The stationary set of a group action,*

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 139-146.

[33] F.-J. Turiel, *Dimension minimale des orbites d'une action symplectique de* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Lect. Notes Math. **1416** (1990), 268–289.

[34] F.-J. Turiel, *Analytic actions on compact surfaces and fixed points*, Manuscripta Mathematica **110** (2003), 195–201.

[35] F.-J. Turiel, *Smooth actions of* $Aff^+(\mathbb{R})$ *on compact surfaces with no fixed point: An elementary construction*, arxiv.org: 1602.05736 (2016).